

DANIEL J. BERGESON, Bar No. 105439
dbergeson@be-law.com
MELINDA M. MORTON, Bar No. 209373
mmorton@be-law.com
MICHAEL W. STEBBINS, Bar No. 138326
mstebbins@be-law.com
COLIN G. MCCARTHY, Bar No. 191410
cmcarthy@be-law.com
BERGESON, LLP
303 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 500
San Jose, CA 95110-2712
Telephone: (408) 291-6200
Facsimile: (408) 297-6000

Attorneys for Plaintiff
VERIGY US, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

VERIGY US, INC, a Delaware Corporation

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROMI OMAR MAYDER, an individual;
WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON
TEST SYSTEMS, INC., a California Corporation;
and SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS, LLC, a
California Limited Liability Corporation,
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. C07 04330 RMW (HRL)

**[proposed] ORDER GRANTING VERIGY'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER
SEAL**

Judge: Hon. Ronald M. Whyte
Ctrm.: 6, 4th Fl.

Complaint Filed: August 22, 2007
Trial Date: None Set

AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS

1 Now before the Court is Verigy's Administrative Motion for Leave to File Documents
2 Under Seal. Upon consideration of the Administrative Motion for Leave to File Documents Under
3 Seal and the supporting declaration of Colin G. McCarthy filed therewith, the Court finds good
4 cause for granting Verigy's request to file documents under seal. The Court finds that:

- 5 (1) The parties possess overriding confidentiality interest that overcomes the
6 right of public access to the record in the following documents:
- 7 (2) Portions of Verigy Inc.'s Motion for Summary Adjudication of its First
8 Claim for Relief for Breach of Contract and Twelfth Claim for Relief for
9 Declaratory Relief; Memorandum of Points and Authorities (the "MSA");
10 and
- 11 (3) Exhibits B, C, D, E, F, G, H, K, L, M, N, O, P, Q, R, and S to the
12 Declaration of Michael W. Stebbins in support of Verigy Inc.'s Motion for
13 Summary Adjudication of its First Claim for Relief for Breach of Contract
14 and Twelfth Claim for Relief for Declaratory Relief
- 15 (4) The parties' overriding confidentiality interests support sealing the record;
- 16 (5) A substantial probability exists that the parties' overriding confidentiality
17 interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;
- 18 (6) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
- 19 (7) No less restrictive means exist to achieve this overriding interest.

20 IT IS ORDERED that Verigy's Motion for Leave to File Documents Under Seal is
21 GRANTED.
22 SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: _____, 2008

Hon. Ronald M. Whyte
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE